Document 10

Filed 08/10/2007

Page 1 of 4

Case 3:07-cv-02755-JL

- 2. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 2 of the Complaint, claimants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 3. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 3 of the Complaint, claimants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 4. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 4 of the Complaint, claimants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 5. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 5 of the Complaint, claimants admit that claimants declared under penalty of perjury a claim of interest in said monies.
- 6. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 6 of the Complaint, claimants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 7. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 7 of the Complaint, claimants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 8. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 8 of the Complaint, claimants admit the allegations contained therein.
- 9. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 9, claimants admit to traveling from John F. Kennedy Airport in New York on JetBlue flight #107 to Oakland and that claimants were carrying United States Currency. In regards to the remaining allegations contained in paragraph 9, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 10. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 10 of the Complaint, claimants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and, on those grounds, deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 11. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 11 of the Complaint, claimants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and, on those grounds, deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 12. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 12 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
  - 13. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 13 of the Complaint,

- 14. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 14 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 15. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 15 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 16. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 16 of the Complaint, claimants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and, on those grounds, deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 17. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 17 of the Complaint, claimants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and, on those grounds, deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 18. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 18 of the Complaint, claimants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and, on those grounds, deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 19. In response to allegations contained in paragraph 19 of the Complaint, claimants lack sufficient information to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein, and, on those grounds, deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 20. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 21. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 21 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 22. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 22 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.
- 23. In response to the allegations contained in paragraph 23 of the Complaint, claimants deny each and every allegation contained therein.

In addition, claimants raise the following defenses to the government's forfeiture complaint:

## FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint on file herein, claimants allege that said Complaint does not state facts sufficient to state a claim for forfeiture of defendant property against claimants.

## SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

As a further separate and affirmative defense to the Complaint on file herein, claimants allege that plaintiff did not meet the burden of proof for either the institution of this forfeiture suit, the seizure of claimants' property, or the forfeiture of defendant property.

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT CLAIMANTS DEMAND TRIAL BY JURY OF THE ISSUES AND DEFENSES RAISED BY THEIR CLAIM AND ANSWER.

WHEREFORE, claimants GREGORY BLANK and DAVID TENNENBAUM prays that this Court will:

- 1. Dismiss plaintiff's Complaint and enter a judgment on behalf of claimants.
- 2. Order that all of defendant property be released and surrendered to claimants forthwith.
- 3. Provide such other and further relief as this court deems proper and just.

DATED: August 10, 2007 Respectfully Submitted,

\_\_\_\_

STUART HANLON Attorney for Defendants GREGORY BLANK DAVID TENNENBAUM

23

22

24

2526

27

28